## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

JAMES HENRY BARNETT, #1006993,	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	3:12-CV-3805-P-BK
	§	
ROBERT W. FRANCIS, et al.,	§	
Defendants.	§	

TANGES TIENING TO A INNUISOND ULA O A CO O

## ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

The United States Magistrate Judge made findings, conclusions and a recommendation in this case. No objections were filed. The District Court reviewed the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation for plain error. Finding none, the Court **ACCEPTS** the Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge, and **DISMISSES** this case with prejudice as frivolous. The dismissal of this case will count as a "strike" or "prior occasion" within the meaning 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

The Court **CERTIFIES** that any appeal of this action would not be taken in good faith. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3). In support of this finding, the Court adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings, Conclusions and Recommendation. See Baugh v. Taylor, 117 F.3d 197, 202 n.21 (5th Cir. 1997). Based on the Findings and Recommendation,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Section1915(g), commonly known as the "three-strikes" provision, provides: "[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action or appeal a judgment in a civil action or proceeding under this section, if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury."

## Case 3:12-cv-03805-P Document 10 Filed 11/16/12 Page 2 of 2 PageID 106

the Court finds that any appeal of this action would present no legal point of arguable merit and would, therefore, be frivolous. *Howard v. King*, 707 F.2d 215, 220 (5th Cir. 1983).

SO ORDERED this 16 day of New, 2012.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE